



**STRATEGIC ENERGY
MANAGEMENT PLAN (SEMP)
2023/24**

Justice Institute of British Columbia



**Justice
Institute**
BRITISH COLUMBIA

LEARNING THAT TAKES YOU BEYOND

Senior Management Support:

Mike Proud, Vice President, Finance & Operations

Signature:

**Mike
Proud** Digitally signed
by Mike Proud
Date: 2025.03.21
08:54:56 -07'00'

Partnering with:



Mar 24, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	- 1 -
2.	INTRODUCTION	- 2 -
3.	OUR COMMITMENT	- 3 -
3.1	ENERGY COMMITMENT	- 3 -
3.2	CLIMATE CHANGE COMMITMENT.....	- 3 -
3.3	WHY ENERGY MANAGEMENT IS IMPORTANT TO US	- 3 -
4.	OUR ORGANIZATION.....	- 5 -
4.1	ORGANIZATIONAL PROFILE.....	- 5 -
4.2	FINANCIAL.....	- 6 -
4.3	FACILITY PROFILE.....	- 6 -
4.4	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	- 7 -
5.	UNDERSTANDING OUR SITUATION	- 8 -
5.1	UTILITY METERS.....	- 8 -
5.2	UTILITY CONSUMPTION AND COSTS.....	- 8 -
5.3	BASE PERIOD SELECTION.....	- 11 -
5.4	ENERGY BREAKDOWN	- 12 -
5.5	BENCHMARKING.....	- 13 -
6.	OUR ACTIONS	- 14 -
6.1	ANNUAL GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.....	- 14 -
6.2	PLANNED ACTIONS	- 16 -
	6.2.1 <i>Potential Projects</i>	- 16 -
	6.2.2 <i>Projects Completed</i>	- 17 -
7.	MONITORING AND REPORTING – HOW ARE WE DOING?.....	- 19 -
7.1	ENERGY SAVINGS	- 19 -
7.2	AVOIDED ENERGY COST.....	- 23 -
8.	COMMUNICATIONS.....	- 25 -
9.	FUTURE TARGETS: JIBC’S PATH TO NET ZERO	- 26 -
10.	ADAPTATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE.....	27
11.	APPENDIX – STAKEHOLDERS.....	28
12.	APPENDIX – BCH: ENERGY MANAGER ASSESSMENT FORM – SELF EVALUATION	29

SEMP prepared with the assistance of Prism Engineering Ltd.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Strategic Energy Management Plan (SEMP) supports the Justice Institute of British Columbia's (JIBC) commitment to energy efficiency and conservation by providing a framework for reducing energy consumption and its associated environmental impact.

This SEMP includes a specific energy reduction target:

JIBC will reduce campus energy intensity in existing buildings (New Westminster and Maple Ridge) by 44% from 2008/2009 fiscal year levels by the end of the 2029/2030 fiscal year with cost-effective energy management initiatives.

To achieve the reduction target, JIBC will undertake cost-effective energy management initiatives. In addition to energy savings potential, the initiatives will also be selected based on non-energy benefits, including occupant comfort, equipment reliability, maintenance costs, and operational improvements. JIBC has spent approximately \$1,866,600 to meet this target in the last ten fiscal years (2014/15 to 2023/24).

Decarbonization and continuous optimization studies are underway at the New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses to identify opportunities to reduce carbon footprints and improve energy efficiency. Upon completion, these studies will recommend potential measures for implementation in 2025/2026 and 2026/2027, helping to drive innovative solutions and meaningful progress toward achieving JIBC's environmental goals.

2. INTRODUCTION

This SEMP supports JIBC’s commitment to energy efficiency and conservation by providing a **framework for reducing energy consumption** and its associated environmental impact. The SEMP includes a specific energy reduction target and an action plan for achieving the target.

By implementing the actions detailed in this SEMP, JIBC demonstrates leadership through innovation and accountability for the resources it uses as an organization. Further, JIBC is also reducing its exposure to energy cost escalations, demonstrating environmentally responsible development, and reducing its reliance on the Province’s energy infrastructure.

An internal review of facilities operations conducted in 2017/18 identified the need for external support for energy management activities, including developing a strategic energy management plan. JIBC joined BC Hydro's Energy Manager Associate Program in March 2019 to address those needs.

To assist JIBC with energy management, Prism Engineering conducted energy audits for the New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses in 2018/19. The audits identified opportunities for energy-related facility enhancements and organizational behavioural improvements. In 2019/20, retro commissioning studies were carried out to identify deficiencies in the operation of mechanical systems and related controls and determine opportunities for corrective actions and other improvements that reduce energy consumption and demand and increase occupant comfort.

The areas for improvement identified in the internal review, the energy audits and retro commissioning include:

- | | |
|------------------------|--|
| 1. Policy | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Quantify past energy conservation activities; create an organization-wide directive, the SEMP; communicate and report on the SEMP; update the SEMP. |
| 2. Targets / Reporting | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Develop a target; develop specific Key Performance Indicators (KPIs); incorporate operational parameters; communicate to public and technical audiences; develop a procedure and follow up on out-of-variance sites. |
| 3. Plans / Actions | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify systems where upgrades can be performed; execute work scopes, including energy baseline studies and analyze results; maintain and prioritize project lists; plan for capital projects; and review with senior management. |
| 4. Teams / Committees | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Obtain approval for the Energy Manager position, train the energy manager, assign tasks to the Energy Manager, and establish a working committee. |
| 5. Employee Awareness | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluate past activities; determine avenues for communications and available resources; plan and deliver communications; encourage curriculum; communicate quantified savings, the SEMP, externally; create feedback mechanism; and develop acknowledgement program. |

This SEMP provides the framework required to link all aspects of energy management at JIBC together and give strategic direction for JIBC to succeed in meeting its reduction target.

3. OUR COMMITMENT

3.1 Energy Commitment

By signing this SEMP, JIBC's Vice President, Finance & Operations, Mike Proud, signifies JIBC's commitment to energy conservation.

3.2 Climate Change Commitment

Under the Carbon Neutral Government Regulation of the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Targets Act, JIBC reports emissions to the British Columbia Climate Action Secretariat and purchases credits to offset these emissions. As part of this, JIBC prepares a Climate Change Accountability Report (CCAR) each year, outlining efforts undertaken and planned to reduce carbon emissions. By definition, JIBC is carbon neutral through the purchase of offsets.

These reports can be found at:

<https://www.jibc.ca/about-us/strategic-plans-reports>

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/climate-change/public-sector/cnar/annual-reports-cnars-table>

3.3 Why Energy Management is Important to Us

There are multiple commitments and reasons why energy management is important to JIBC.

JIBC strives to create and maintain a supportive learning environment that incorporates sustainability principles in our operations. Sustainability is defined as meeting today's needs while not compromising future generations' needs.

JIBC is committed to meeting or exceeding regulatory requirements and good organizational practices to support sustainability goals. JIBC is committed to the continual proactive improvement of operating practices. To fulfil these goals, JIBC is committed to:

- Ensuring an environment of inclusivity and respect for all students, staff and visitors;
- Being a responsible neighbour and contributing positively to the local and regional community;
- Minimizing noise pollution and other statutory nuisance;
- Protecting students, staff and visitors with comprehensive health and safety practices;
- Responsible resource consumption;
- Green and, where practicable, local procurement practices;
- Sustainable waste management practices which follow the waste hierarchy (reduce, reuse, and recycle);
- Implementing energy efficiency initiatives and reducing emissions to air where possible;
- Minimization and responsible use of hazardous materials;
- Supporting sustainable transportation for students and staff;
- Sustainable water consumption and waste-water management; and
- Respecting the local environment, including habitat and wildlife.

Furthermore, energy management also allows JIBC to:

- Reduce operating costs through energy conservation and efficiency;
- Minimize the environmental impact of our organization;
- Reduce greenhouse gas emissions – of global importance;
- Reduce exposure to energy cost escalations;
- Reduce reliance on the Province's energy infrastructure;
- Demonstrate effective management of resources;
- Promote our successes to the public and other colleges and universities; and
- Strive towards educating those who will shape the future of our community, province, and country on the importance of managing the resources we use.

4. OUR ORGANIZATION

4.1 Organizational Profile

Justice Institute of British Columbia (JIBC) is a public, postsecondary educational institution founded in 1978. JIBC is Canada's leading public safety educator with a mission to develop dynamic justice and public safety professionals through its exceptional applied education, training, and research. Each year, more than 45,000 students study at JIBC's six campuses in British Columbia (BC) through online distance education and at locations in more than 130 BC communities and sites across Canada and worldwide.

The New Westminster Campus is the main administrative campus, and the Maple Ridge Campus is a regional campus. Both campuses are included in this SEMP. JIBC also has campuses in Chilliwack, the Okanagan, Pitt Meadows, and Vancouver Island. The energy consumption of these other campuses is not included in this SEMP.

Table 1: Organization Profile

Organization Profile					
P E O P L E	Sector	Education (postsecondary)			
	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students (2023/24):	FTE students 1,095 FTE Students	Number of Sites:	- New Westminster Campus 17,652 m ² - Maple Ridge Campus 2,215 m ²	
O P E R A T I O N S	Energy Management Issues / Obstacles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability of funding for energy efficiency projects, Limited sub-metering, particularly natural gas, Energy awareness and behavioural change amongst faculty, staff, and students, and Transient student population. 			
	Core Business Metrics	1. Building floor area (m ²) 2. Full-time equivalent (FTE) students 3. Operating hours			
	Business Year	April 1 to March 31			
	Budget Cycle	April 1 to March 31			
	Operations/Maintenance Budget (includes salaries, supplies, janitorial) *	2016/17: \$1,786,678 2019/20: \$2,112,038 2022/23: \$2,442,872	2017/18: \$1,805,777 2020/21: \$2,239,656 2023/24: \$2,672,789	2018/19: \$1,912,475 2021/22: \$2,465,930	
	Utilities Cost* (Elec, Gas)	2016/17: \$260,000 2019/20: \$233,500 2022/23: \$316,800	2017/18: \$251,000 2020/21: \$223,000 2023/24: \$305,700	2018/19: \$302,000 2021/22: \$279,700	
	Energy Efficiency Projects (Capital)	2014/15: \$273,000 2019/20: \$164,700 2022/23: \$687,730	2017/18: \$420,000 2020/21: \$418,621 2023/24: \$60,600*	2018/19: \$0 2021/22: \$211,000	

*Only New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses are included.

4.2 Financial

Overall, in Fiscal 2023/24, JIBC expended \$68,675 to install energy-efficient systems at its New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses. These projects included feasibility studies for EV charging stations, lighting luminaire surveys and upgrades, HVAC equipment retrofits/upgrades, and heater interlocks and overrides for the MRC Pump House B. These projects were completed in March 2024.

For subsequent years, JIBC's Facilities Division will present a comprehensive list of projects from which the Institute can allocate funds during the capital budget process. The budget for energy projects will be allocated on a project-by-project basis, depending on the proposed payback and the available funds.

4.3 Facility Profile

JIBC operates six campuses, as follows:

- New Westminster
- Maple Ridge
- Chilliwack
- Okanagan
- Vancouver Island
- Pitt Meadows

Only the New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses are included in this SEMP. Table 2 describes the two campuses in more detail.

Table 2: Facility Profile for April 1, 2023, to March 31, 2024 (2023/2024 Fiscal)

Campus	Area (m ²)	Purposes		Annual Energy Consumption (ekWh)	Annual Energy Cost (\$)	Energy Intensity (ekWh/m ²)
New Westminster	17,652	Main Building: Administration, Classroom and Gymnasium Blocks; Rix Building		3,501,000	\$233,872	198
Maple Ridge	2,215	Administration, Classroom, Change Room, Laundry, Equipment Storage, Maintenance, Water Treatment Plants A & B Systems		778,000	\$71,820	351
Total	19,867			4,279,000	\$305,692	215

A summary of the energy intensities by energy source type is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Building Areas and Energy Data Summary (2023/2024 fiscal)

New Westminster

Site Name	Floor Area m ²	Electricity kWh	Electricity Cost	Natural Gas GJ	Natural Gas Cost	ekWh / m ²	Cost \$/m ²
New West – Electricity	17,652	1,325,400	\$148,890			75	\$8.43
New West – Gas	17,652	-	-	7,832	\$84,980	123	\$4.81
TOTAL		Total ekWh=3,500,990		Total Cost=\$233,872		198	\$13.24

Maple Ridge

Site Name	Floor Area m ²	Electricity kWh	Electricity Cost	Natural Gas GJ	Natural Gas Cost	ekWh / m ²	Cost \$/m ²
Maple R – Electricity	2,215	434,430	\$56,970			196	\$25.72
Maple R – Gas	2,215	-	-	1,237	\$14,850	155	\$6.71
TOTAL		Total ekWh=778,000		Total Cost=\$71,820		351	\$32.43

Total

Site Name	Floor Area m ²	Electricity kWh	Electricity Cost	Natural Gas GJ	Natural Gas Cost	ekWh / m ²	Cost \$/m ²
JIBC – Electricity	19,867	1,759,830	\$205,860			88	\$10.36
JIBC – Gas	19,867	-	-	9,069	\$99,830	127	\$5.02
TOTAL		Total ekWh=4,278,990		Total Cost=\$305,692		215	\$15.38

*Only New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses are included.

4.4 Key Performance Indicators

The standard metric used as a key performance indicator (KPI) within the postsecondary education sector is the building area (m²). However, this alone only paints part of the picture, and to provide a metric which can be related to all levels of the organization, full-time equivalent (FTE) students are also analyzed, as noted in below.

Table 4 below.

Table 4: Energy Usage Intensity by Area and FTE Students

Fiscal Year	Total Energy Usage (ekWh)	Area (m ²)	FTE Students	ekWh/m ²	ekWh/ FTE Student
2010/11	4,151,600	19,867	763	209	5441
2011/12	4,232,350	19,867	926	213	4572
2012/13	3,895,100	19,867	904	196	4310
2013/14	3,872,977	19,867	949	195	4081
2014/15	3,860,881	19,867	1,031	194	3743
2015/16	3,661,420	19,867	988	184	3704
2016/17	4,182,101	19,867	1,113	211	3757
2017/18	3,831,394	19,867	1,120	193	3422
2018/19	3,708,108	19,867	1,068	187	3473
2019/20	3,588,513	19,867	1,006	181	3566
2020/21	3,507,692	19,867	593	177	5914
2021/22	4,028,939	19,867	804	203	5014
2022/23	4,287,489	19,867	976	216	4393
2023/24	4,278,985	19,867	1,095	215	3,908

5. UNDERSTANDING OUR SITUATION

5.1 Utility Meters

JIBC does not currently have sub-metered buildings but has one electrical account and one natural gas account for each campus.

Table 5: Utility Accounts

Name	Fuel Type	Account Number	Vendor Name	Rate
GAS- NEW WESTMINSTER	Natural Gas	738252	Fortis BC	Large Commercial
GAS- MAPLE RIDGE	Natural Gas	1043565	Fortis BC	Small Commercial
ELEC-NEW WESTMINSTER	Electrical	1618056-0	City of New Westminster	
ELEC-MAPLE RIDGE	Electrical	1232-7605-951	BC HYDRO	Rate 1600


5.2 Utility Consumption and Costs

Overall utility energy use and cost in 2023/24 for JIBC are noted in the pie charts below.

As shown in Figure 1, at the New Westminister campus, electricity accounted for 37% of the total energy use but 57% of the overall energy cost. Natural gas accounted for 63% of the total energy consumption and 43% of the overall energy cost.

At the Maple Ridge campus, electricity accounted for 51% of the total energy use but 73% of the overall energy cost. Natural gas accounted for 49% of the total energy consumption and 27% of the overall energy cost.

Combined, electricity accounted for 40% of the total energy use but 61% of the overall energy cost. Natural gas accounted for 60% of the total energy consumption and 39% of the overall energy cost.






Figure 1: FY 2023/24 Energy Consumption and Cost Breakdown

The historical energy consumption (in ekWh) and costs for JIBC are shown graphically below.




Figure 2: Historical Energy Consumption and Cost – New Westminster and Maple Ridge Campuses




Figure 3: Historical Energy Use Intensity – New Westminster and Maple Ridge Campuses

5.3 Base Period Selection

A 'base period' must be selected to provide a platform for comparing energy use to track energy savings. The base periods have been established for each energy account based on the following considerations:

- A full 12-month base period, as close to fiscal 2008/2009, was selected to incorporate seasonal fluctuations in weather; and
- The base period selected was before major retrofits, which have occurred since at the New Westminster Campus. Therefore, the base period does not represent consistent operation.

The base periods for each energy account are shown in the table below.

Table 6: Base Period Selection

Site Name	Fuel Type	Account Number	Base Start	Base End	Days
GAS- NEW WESTMINSTER	Natural Gas	738252	April 1, 2008	March 31, 2009	365
GAS- MAPLE RIDGE	Natural Gas	1043565	March 21, 2008	March 20, 2009	365
ELEC-NEW WESTMINSTER	Electrical	1618056-0	March 29, 2008	March 30, 2009	367
ELEC-MAPLE RIDGE	Electrical	1232-7605-951	March 21, 2008	March 20, 2009	365

The baseline calculates energy savings moving forward in time, normalized for weather. Where a correlation between energy consumption and outdoor temperature exists (i.e., 'cooling' or 'heating' in the table above), a model (equation) has been generated to predict energy consumption based on weather data.

5.4 Energy Breakdown

The building energy end-use breakdowns are illustrated below in Figure 4 and Figure 5.

The lighting system is the highest cause of electrical energy use (approximately 41% of overall annual use). Fans are the second highest cause, followed by plug loads (at 31% and 12%, respectively).




Figure 4: Electrical Energy End-Use Breakdown for New Westminster Campus (2018)

The highest cause of gas use (approximately 51% of overall gas use) is for the envelope losses. The second-highest gas usage cause is ventilation heating, followed by domestic hot water heating (at 37% and 10% respectively).




Figure 5: Gas End-Use Breakdown for New Westminster Campus (2018)

5.5 Benchmarking

Comparison to the College Sector

The chart below compares the energy use intensity of JIBC’s New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses to that of other educational institutions located in BC, as determined by Prism Engineering’s Utility Management and Analysis (PUMA) software. It also shows the median energy intensity for seventeen (17) other postsecondary campuses in BC.¹ The energy data is for the calendar year 2023.

The chart shows the difference between the postsecondary institutions and the JIBC campuses. The New Westminster Campus has an energy use intensity (201 ekWh/m²) equal to the median. The Maple Ridge Campus has the highest energy use intensities (386 ekWh/m²) in the comparison.




Figure 6: Benchmarking Comparison to Other Post-Secondary Institutions in BC

It should be noted that the above energy use intensities are for the calendar year 2023 (not fiscal year 2023/24). Other charts and tables in this report are based on the 2023/24 fiscal year. Therefore, there is a minor difference in energy use intensities for the calendar and fiscal years.

Notably, the Maple Ridge Campus is designed for hands-on firefighting practice and training. Two water treatment systems, equipped with two main pumps with high horsepower capacity, are in use at the campus. The operation of these large-size pumps is unique for a postsecondary institution, resulting in a higher energy use index than other institutions.

¹ These 17 buildings are from six colleges and universities in BC

6. OUR ACTIONS

A target of a 44% reduction in total electricity and fuel energy use compared to baseline 2008/2009 has been selected to be achieved by fiscal year 2029/2030. The target will be realized through the implementation of cost-effective energy management initiatives.

The target pertains to the New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses only. Progress towards the target will be corrected for fluctuations in the weather.

6.1 Annual Goals and Objectives

The following tables outline the annual achievements and goals for energy and greenhouse gas emission reduction.

Table 7: Annual Energy Reduction Targets

Fiscal Year	Electricity			Fuel			Overall Energy			
	Reduction Target	Energy Intensity	Energy Use	Reduction Target	Energy Intensity	Energy Use	Reduction Target	Energy Intensity	Energy Use	
	% of Base Period	kWh/m ²	kWh	% of Base Period	ekWh/m ²	ekWh	% of Base Period	ekWh/m ²	ekWh	
2008/2009 (Base)		148.2	2,943,378		147.3	2,925,565		295.4	5,868,943	Actual
2009/2010	10%	133.5	2,651,871	24%	112.0	2,225,387	17%	245.5	4,877,258	"
2010/2011	25%	111.7	2,218,272	34%	97.3	1,933,327	29%	209.0	4,151,600	"
2011/2012	25%	111.5	2,215,790	31%	101.5	2,016,560	28%	213.0	4,232,350	"
2012/2013	30%	104.2	2,070,009	38%	91.9	1,825,091	34%	196.1	3,895,100	"
2013/2014	32%	100.4	1,994,995	36%	94.5	1,877,982	34%	194.9	3,872,977	"
2014/2015	32%	101.3	2,012,301	37%	93.0	1,848,580	34%	194.3	3,860,881	"
2015/2016	38%	91.2	1,811,303	37%	93.1	1,850,117	38%	184.3	3,661,420	"
2016/2017	38%	92.1	1,829,928	20%	118.4	2,352,173	29%	210.5	4,182,101	"
2017/2018	38%	92.1	1,828,874	32%	100.8	2,002,519	35%	192.9	3,831,394	"
2018/2019	37%	92.6	1,840,105	36%	94.0	1,868,003	37%	186.7	3,708,108	"
2019/2020	42%	86.4	1,715,805	36%	94.3	1,872,708	39%	180.6	3,588,513	"
2020/2021	49%	75.3	1,496,326	31%	101.2	2,011,366	40%	176.6	3,507,692	"
2021/2022	45%	81.7	1,623,479	18%	121.1	2,405,460	31%	202.8	4,028,939	"
2022/2023	42%	85.6	1,701,322	12%	130.2	2,586,167	27%	215.8	4,287,489	"
2023/2024	40%	88.6	1,760,000	14%	126.8	2,519,167	27%	215.4	4,279,167	"
2024/2025	44%	83.0	1,648,292	28%	106.1	2,106,407	36%	189.0	3,754,698	Projected
2025/2026	45%	81.5	1,618,858	30%	103.1	2,047,896	38%	184.6	3,666,753	"
2026/2027	46%	80.0	1,589,424	32%	100.2	1,989,384	39%	180.1	3,578,808	"
2027/2028	47%	78.5	1,559,990	34%	97.2	1,930,873	41%	175.7	3,490,863	"
2028/2029	48%	77.1	1,530,557	36%	94.3	1,872,362	42%	171.3	3,402,918	"
2029/2030	49%	75.6	1,501,123	38%	91.3	1,813,850	44%	166.9	3,314,973	"

Table 8: Annual Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Reduction Targets

Fiscal Year	Electricity			Fuel			Overall Energy			
	Reduction Target	GHG Intensity	GHG Emissions	Reduction Target	GHG Intensity	GHG Emissions	Reduction Target	GHG Intensity	GHG Emissions	
	% of Base Period	kgCO2/m ²	tCO2e	% of Base Period	kgCO2/m ²	tCO2e	% of Base Period	kgCO2/m ²	tCO2e	
2008/2009 (Base)		3.7	74		26	525		30.1	599	Actual
2009/2010	1%	3.7	73	24%	20	399	21%	23.8	472	"
2010/2011	-12%	4.2	83	34%	17	347	28%	21.6	430	"
2011/2012	-24%	4.6	91	31%	18	362	24%	22.8	453	"
2012/2013	-9%	4.0	80	38%	16	328	32%	20.5	408	"
2013/2014	8%	3.4	68	36%	17	337	32%	20.4	405	"
2014/2015	12%	3.3	65	37%	17	332	34%	20.0	397	"
2015/2016	17%	3.1	61	37%	17	332	34%	19.8	393	"
2016/2017	19%	3.0	59	20%	21	422	20%	24.2	482	"
2017/2018	25%	2.8	55	32%	18	359	31%	20.9	414	"
2018/2019	34%	2.5	49	36%	17	335	36%	19.3	384	"
2019/2020	24%	2.8	56	36%	17	336	35%	19.7	392	"
2020/2021	35%	2.4	48	31%	18	361	32%	20.6	409	"
2021/2022	78%	0.8	17	18%	22	432	25%	22.6	448	"
2022/2023	73%	1.0	20	12%	23	464	19%	24.4	484	"
2023/2024	74%	1.0	20	12%	23	452	19%	23.8	472	"
2024/2025	74%	1.0	19	28%	19	378	34%	20.0	397	Projected
2025/2026	75%	0.9	19	30%	19	368	35%	19.4	386	"
2026/2027	75%	0.9	18	32%	18	357	37%	18.9	375	"
2027/2028	76%	0.9	18	34%	17	347	39%	18.4	365	"
2028/2029	76%	0.9	18	36%	17	336	41%	17.8	354	"
2029/2030	77%	0.9	17	38%	16	326	43%	17.3	343	"

These targets may be subject to adjustment over time due to:

- The timing of implementation of projects;
- Availability of funding for energy projects; and
- Major changes in building use.

6.2 Planned Actions

To enable JIBC to achieve the reduction target outlined in Section 6.1, cost-effective energy management initiatives will be undertaken. In addition to energy savings potential, the initiatives will be selected based on non-energy benefits, including occupant comfort, equipment reliability, maintenance costs, and operational improvements. It should be noted that decarbonization and continuous optimization studies are currently being conducted for both campuses. Upon completion of the studies, more potential measures will be identified for implementation.

6.2.1 Potential Projects

Major potential projects which will help JIBC to achieve the reduction target include:

- **Mechanical**

New Westminster Campus:

- Upgrade the heating system by installing air-source heat pumps for the hydronic heating loop;
- Installing low-temperature radiant wall panels;
- Upgrade the VAV reheat system (low temperature); and
- Continuous Optimization measures for Kitchen make-up air unit/exhaust fan system, Atrium fan control and VAV system optimization.

Maple Ridge Campus:

- Install VRF system for Laundry;
- Install CO2 heat pump system for Laundry>Showers;
- Replace gas-fired unit heaters with split heat pumps;
- Replace existing air handling units serving various buildings with heat pumps; and
- Continuous Optimization measures.

- **Electrical**

New Westminster Campus:

- Install photovoltaic (PV) solar panels (electrical savings).

- **Behavioural Programs & Studies**

Both Campuses:

- Participate in BC Hydro's Energy Wise Network Program, which supports organizational behaviour change; and
- Conduct an LED lighting survey to identify any remaining non-LED lighting for upgrading.

6.2.2 Projects Completed

- **Lighting Retrofits at New Westminster and Maple Ridge Campuses:**
 - 2023/24: Replaced fluorescent lighting with LED lighting fixtures.
- **Mechanical System Retrofits at Maple Ridge Campus:**
 - 2023/24: Water Treatment Plant B: Corrected heater override.
 - 2023/24: Vehicle Bay Overhead Doors: Installed heater shut-off switches.
- **Mechanical System Retrofits at New Westminster and Maple Ridge Campuses:**
 - 2023/24: HVAC Upgrade: Replaced components of select air handling units.
 - 2023/24: Indoor Air Quality Testing: Conducted testing.
- **Electrical System Study at New Westminster Campus:**
 - 2023/24: EV Charging Stations: Conducted a feasibility study for installing charging stations.

Table 9: Summary of Energy Savings Projects - Past

FY	Project	Location	Electrical Savings (kWh)	Gas Savings (GJ)	Potential Total Savings (Energy + Operational) (\$)	Total Cost (\$)	BC Hydro/ Fortis BC Incentive (\$)	Projected Total Cost incl. Incentive (\$)
2014/15	Chiller Plant Upgrade	NWC	57,500	0	\$3,500	\$273,000	0	\$273,000
2017/18	Boiler Plant Upgrade	NWC	0	570	\$5,700	\$517,000	\$97,000	\$420,000
2019/20	Continuous Optimization	NWC	14,100	42	\$1,700	\$20,000	0	\$20,000
"	Holiday Scheduling	NWC	35,700	147	\$3,700	\$700	0	\$700
"	Continuous Optimization	MRC	12,100	26	\$1,000	\$2,500	0	\$2,500
"	Lighting Upgrades and Controls	MRC	38,500	0	\$3,700	\$57,000	\$4,000	\$53,000
2020/21	Lighting Upgrade	NWC	122,300	0	\$16,700	\$84,500	\$4,000	\$80,500
2021/22	Lighting Upgrade	NWC	25,500	0	\$3,500	\$35,000	\$0	\$35,000
"	Classrooms AC Electrical Units Upgrade	MRC				\$16,700	\$0	\$16,700
"	Admin Electrical Room AC Unit Upgrade	MRC				\$9,200	\$0	\$9,200
"	Walk-in Cooler Retrofit	NWC				\$11,000	\$0	\$11,000

FY	Project	Location	Electrical Savings (kWh)	Gas Savings (GJ)	Potential Total Savings (Energy + Operational) (\$)	Total Cost (\$)	BC Hydro/ Fortis BC Incentive (\$)	Projected Total Cost incl. Incentive (\$)
"	AHU6 Fan VFD Retrofit	NWC				\$8,700	\$0	\$8,700
"	Domestic Hot Water Tank Replacement	MRC				\$2,500	\$0	\$2,500
"	DDC Engineering and Calibration	BOTH				\$17,000	\$0	\$17,000
"	AHU101 Condensing Unit Replacement	MRC				\$26,200	\$0	\$26,200
"	LED Lighting Replacement	MRC				\$37,200	\$0	\$37,200
2022/23	Pump Renewal – Water Treatment Plant B System	MRC	9,000	4	\$1,100	\$534,432	\$0	\$534,432
"	LED Lighting	MRC				\$37,242	\$0	\$37,242
"	HVAC Renewal	MRC				\$21,531	\$0	\$21,531
"	Heat Pump Units Renewal for Server Room (2)	NWC	15,650	0	\$1,450	\$94,525	\$0	\$94,525
2023/24	LED Lighting Upgrade	BOTH				\$28,650	\$0	\$28,650
"	Upgrade HVAC systems	BOTH				\$22,500	\$0	\$22,500
"	Feasibility Study for EV Charging Stations	NWC				\$3,000	\$0	\$3,000
"	WTS Plant B Heater Interlock	MRC				\$4,800	\$0	\$4,800
	Pumphouse B Heater Override	MRC				\$1,700	\$0	\$1,700

7. MONITORING AND REPORTING – HOW ARE WE DOING?

7.1 Energy Savings

This section of the SEMP tracks the energy savings compared to the baseline and provides the means necessary to track success toward the energy reduction target, as set in Section 6.1.

The following chart shows cumulative savings over time since the 2008/09 base period for utility monitoring for JIBC. This graph will be updated as time passes, and progress will follow. The cumulative savings shown in the graph are represented by equivalent kWh (ekWh) and **adjusted for weather fluctuations**. *Negative* savings (downward slope) on the graph represent increased consumption and vice-versa.

As can be seen, at the end of Fiscal Year 2023/24, the cumulative energy savings since the base period is positive, representing a **decrease in consumption compared to the base period**.

The total energy saved between April 1, 2009, and March 31, 2024, normalized for the weather, is approximately 23,524,000 ekWh. [Electricity 15,158,000 kWh and natural gas 8,366,100 ekWh (30,118 GJ)]

Based on the above achievement, JIBC is moving in the right direction to meet the reduction target and will continue this momentum through an effective energy management program.




Figure 7: Cumulative Sum of **Energy Savings** – Combined JIBC New Westminster and Maple Ridge Campuses




Figure 8: Cumulative Sum of Energy Savings –New Westminster Campus




Figure 9: Cumulative Sum of Energy Savings

Tables 11, 12, and 13 below show a breakdown of energy savings by year for JIBC’s New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses, respectively.

Table 10: Summary of Energy Savings by Year

Fiscal Year	Electrical Savings -kWh	Natural Gas Savings - GJ	Natural Gas Savings -ekWh	Energy Total Savings - ekWh
2008/2009	3,218	16	4,422	7,640
2009/2010	265,858	1,053	292,495	558,353
2010/2011	713,547	2,891	802,990	1,516,537
2011/2012	733,230	3,023	839,644	1,572,874
2012/2013	858,290	3,086	857,269	1,715,559
2013/2014	939,519	3,086	857,202	1,796,721
2014/2015	892,614	1,788	496,640	1,389,254
2015/2016	1,109,657	2,152	597,862	1,707,519
2016/2017	1,097,434	1,104	306,772	1,404,206
2017/2018	1,102,088	2,450	680,593	1,782,681
2018/2019	1,088,238	2,885	801,315	1,889,553
2019/2020	1,217,525	2,686	746,204	1,963,729
2020/2021	1,426,110	2,106	585,129	2,011,239
2021/2022	1,307,739	1,041	289,248	1,596,987
2022/2023	1,235,698	687	190,737	1,426,435
2023/2024	1,167,196	63	17,579	1,184,775
Grand Total	15,157,962	30,118	8,366,098	23,524,061

Table 11: Summary of Energy Savings by Year – New Westminster Campus

Fiscal Year	Electrical Savings -kWh	Natural Gas Savings - GJ	Natural Gas Savings -ekWh	Energy Total Savings - ekWh
2008/2009	1,212	0	0	1,212
2009/2010	229,338	977	271,469	500,807
2010/2011	644,173	2,756	765,434	1,409,607
2011/2012	625,436	2,583	717,493	1,342,929
2012/2013	720,357	2,640	733,347	1,453,704
2013/2014	805,027	2,482	689,316	1,494,343
2014/2015	769,861	1,190	330,539	1,100,400
2015/2016	927,581	1,648	457,797	1,385,378
2016/2017	913,127	643	178,527	1,091,654
2017/2018	909,373	1,946	540,631	1,450,004
2018/2019	919,007	2,238	621,588	1,540,595
2019/2020	1,015,275	2,087	579,680	1,594,955
2020/2021	1,255,660	2,045	568,106	1,823,766
2021/2022	1,191,480	1,052	292,113	1,483,593
2022/2023	1,113,921	424	117,822	1,231,743
2023/2024	1,048,890	-304	-84,371	964,519
Grand Total	13,089,719	24,406	6,779,490	19,869,208

Table 12: Summary of Energy Savings by Year – Maple Ridge Campus

Fiscal Year	Electrical Savings -kWh	Natural Gas Savings - GJ	Natural Gas Savings -ekWh	Energy Total Savings - ekWh
2008/2009	2,006	16	4,423	6,429
2009/2010	36,520	76	21,026	57,546
2010/2011	69,374	135	37,557	106,931
2011/2012	107,794	440	122,151	229,945
2012/2013	137,934	446	123,921	261,855
2013/2014	134,492	604	167,886	302,378
2014/2015	122,753	598	166,101	288,854
2015/2016	182,075	504	140,065	322,141
2016/2017	184,307	462	128,245	312,552
2017/2018	192,716	504	139,961	332,677
2018/2019	169,231	647	179,726	348,957
2019/2020	202,250	600	166,524	368,774
2020/2021	170,450	61	17,023	187,473
2021/2022	116,260	-10	-2,866	113,394
2022/2023	121,777	263	72,915	194,692
2023/2024	118,306	367	101,950	220,256
Grand Total	2,068,244	5,712	1,586,609	3,654,852

Similarly to energy, a CUSUM chart for greenhouse gas emission avoidance has been generated, as shown in Figure 10 below.




Figure 10: Cumulative Sum of GHG Emission Avoidance – Since 2008/09 Base Period

By the end of Fiscal Year 2023/24, the cumulative GHG emission avoidance is approximately 1,655 tonnes of eCO₂.

A breakdown of Emission Avoidance per year is shown in Table 13 below.

Table 13: Summary of Emission Avoidance by Year (Tonnes of Equivalent CO₂)

Fiscal Year	Electricity	Natural Gas	Energy Total
2008/2009	0.1	0.8	0.9
2009/2010	6.5	52.5	59.0
2010/2011	14.9	144.1	159.0
2011/2012	6.6	150.7	157.3
2012/2013	8.2	153.9	162.1
2013/2014	11.1	153.9	165.0
2014/2015	9.5	89.2	98.6
2015/2016	10.0	107.3	117.3
2016/2017	9.9	55.1	64.9
2017/2018	9.9	122.2	132.1
2018/2019	9.8	143.8	153.6
2019/2020	11.0	134.0	144.9
2020/2021	12.8	105.0	117.8
2021/2022	11.8	51.9	63.7
2022/2023	11.1	34.2	45.3
2023/2024	10.5	3.2	13.7
Grand Total	153.6	1,501.8	1,655.4

7.2 Avoided Energy Cost

Cost avoidance is avoided spending, not necessarily decreased spending. If an energy project that yields consumption savings is implemented, but energy rates increase simultaneously, looking at the actual cost savings/bill decrease will not show the full cost that was avoided. In other words, if that same project had not been implemented and energy rates had increased, then more would have been spent than beforehand. By looking at avoided costs rather than just actual cost savings, the full financial impact of the energy management initiatives is captured.

Similarly, as for energy, the same CUSUM chart for energy cost avoidance can be generated, as shown in Figure 11.




Figure 11: Cumulative Sum of **Cost Avoidance** – Since 2008/09 Utility Monitoring Base Period

As Table 14 below shows, the cumulative energy cost avoided **by the end of Fiscal Year 2023/24 is \$1,764,287.**

Table 14: Summary of Cost Avoidance by Year

Fiscal Year	Electrical	Natural Gas	Energy Total
2008/2009	\$482	\$2,279	\$2,761
2009/2010	\$21,209	\$11,798	\$33,007
2010/2011	\$49,605	\$28,762	\$78,367
2011/2012	\$52,955	\$27,890	\$80,844
2012/2013	\$64,087	\$26,166	\$90,253
2013/2014	\$77,847	\$28,850	\$106,697
2014/2015	\$78,565	\$18,717	\$97,282
2015/2016	\$106,461	\$21,341	\$127,802
2016/2017	\$111,520	\$11,306	\$122,826
2017/2018	\$118,038	\$21,217	\$139,256
2018/2019	\$112,756	\$30,257	\$143,013
2019/2020	\$126,363	\$19,992	\$146,355
2020/2021	\$143,330	\$20,891	\$164,221
2021/2022	\$139,656	\$11,817	\$151,473
2022/2023	\$136,536	\$9,891	\$146,682
2023/2024	\$132,665	\$1,038	\$133,704
Grand Total	\$1,472,076	\$292,211	\$1,764,287

8. COMMUNICATIONS

The following communication methods are currently used to keep key stakeholders informed of the energy management efforts at JIBC:

- Quarterly facility meetings: Energy projects are discussed, and energy performance from utility monitoring reports is reviewed with operators and management; and
- Quarterly energy management reporting: Results from ongoing energy management projects are reviewed, and future potential projects are discussed.

Currently, the greater community of staff and students of JIBC have little exposure to the energy management efforts that support campuses in running efficiently. In upcoming fiscal years, JIBC will expand methods of communication with the community of staff and students at JIBC campuses by way of the following methods:

- **2024/25**—Energy management reporting on utility usage will be available on the Intranet and published quarterly in JIBC News (Justin Time).
- **2024/25**—Participating in the BC Hydro Energy Wise Network Program is a key initiative for engaging staff and advancing sustainability goals. Promoting the 44% energy reduction target by 2030 and introducing the Strategic Energy Management Plan (SEMP) will be carried out through a multifaceted approach that includes emails, posters, and campus displays.

9. FUTURE TARGETS: JIBC'S PATH TO NET ZERO

As described in the last two sections, JIBC has already achieved and exceeded its current energy reduction targets. The analysis in this section will be used to set achievable yet visionary targets for the future of JIBC campuses.

The term "net zero" can refer to energy and/or emissions. A net zero energy building has high performance with minimal energy use and meets its energy needs from heat recovery and locally generated renewable sources. A net zero-emission building has high performance with minimal energy use. Its energy use is from low or zero-carbon sources such as BC electricity and renewable energy. JIBC's path to net zero will involve various projects in the following categories:

- **Energy Efficiency and Behavioural**

The majority of JIBC's projects completed to date are in this first category, "Energy Efficiency and Behavioural." JIBC has had great success in achieving reductions to date in this category, and there are still opportunities to reduce further energy and emissions via energy efficiency.

- **Fuel Switching**

The fuel-switching category of projects will contribute primarily to reducing emissions at JIBC. However, at times energy reduction will also be realized, such as switching from gas-fired systems to electric heat pumps, where the electrified system's efficiency is higher than that of the gas-fired system.

- **Renewable Energy**

Renewable energy sources can be on-site or from a utility provider. At JIBC, there is an opportunity to add photovoltaic (PV) solar panels to the roof of the New Westminster campus main building to generate electricity on-site.

10. ADAPTATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE

JIBC is aware of climate change impacts locally and has considered developing the necessary foundations, which are:

- Identify internal stakeholders (build an internal team with representatives from risk management, sustainability, capital upgrade/ asset planning, and operations):
 - Have the team initiate “low-hanging fruit” adaptation tasks immediately
 - Back up building documentation;
 - Move building documentation away from flood zones (e.g., basement mechanical rooms); and
 - Add window films and shading to reduce overheating.
 - Other immediate adaptation initiatives that JIBC can consider:
 - Installing moisture sensors to move elevators above ground in case of flooding; and
 - Integrating controls to filter or eliminate outdoor air when outdoor air conditions are worse than indoor (e.g., forest fire pollution).
- Conduct a climate change vulnerability risk assessment of the New Westminster and Maple Ridge campuses and incorporate learnings into building renewal as part of the end-of-life upgrades:
 - Provide training/capacity building for internal staff;
 - Get stories from operation staff on what is currently vulnerable; and
 - Pick a framework (and modify it to fit) for the adaptation process at JIBC.
- Review assets up for renewal (e.g., mechanical equipment) and consider assessing their capacity for future climate (up to 2050 or asset life); and
- Consider whether to develop an adaptation plan or incorporate adaptation into existing policies.

11. APPENDIX – STAKEHOLDERS

Name	Title	Role	Contribution	Program Influence (Advisor / Decision Maker)
Mike Proud CPA, CGA, BCom	Vice President, Finance & Operations	Executive Sponsor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signs off on SEMP • Allocates required resources and funding 	Decision Maker
Julie Brown BCom, MBA, RPA, CEFP, FMA, SFP	Director, Campus Planning & Facilities Operations	Energy Management Committee Member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilitates meetings • Approves energy savings opportunities/projects 	Decision Maker
David Atchison	Senior Manager, Facilities	Energy Management Committee Member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Helps identify and implement energy savings opportunities/projects 	Advisor
Blake Smith CEM	Manager, Facilities	Energy Management Committee Member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Helps identify energy savings opportunities/projects • Responsible for SEMP preparation 	Advisor

JIBC Energy Management Consultant	<p>Prism Engineering Majid Pishvaei, PEng, CEM majid@prismengineering.com Also: Robert Greenwald, PEng Adam Franklin, PEng</p>
--	---

Contact Info				
Name	Title	Organization	Email	Phone
Julie Brown	Director, Campus Planning & Facilities Operations	JIBC	jbrown@jibc.ca	604-528-5525
Ron Mastromonaco	Key Account Manager	BC Hydro	ron.mastromonaco@bchydro.com	604-699-9418
Jason Lee	Program Manager	BC Hydro	Jay.Lee@bchydro.com	604-364-1835

Executive support is critical to the successful implementation of the SEMP. Other stakeholders not listed by name in the table above include infrastructure and program area staff, faculty, students, the surrounding community, and utility providers.

12. APPENDIX – BCH: ENERGY MANAGER ASSESSMENT FORM – SELF EVALUATION

For BC Hydro to complete

File Number		
Quarter	④	
PSE Signature: SEMP Completed		Date:
Projects that used PS incentives:	PS Program Incentive	
	kWh	
	PSP	
	PSP Express	
	New Construction	
	Total	
Behavioural Program (2%)		
Turnaround time for 4 th Q review: _____ days		

Energy Manager: Please complete appropriate year below

- Note: All areas (in your contract Year) must be covered in order to receive 4th quarter payment

Year 2 +: Strategic Energy Management Plan requirements

Elements which must be included in SEMP	Page number where the element is addressed in the SEMP	Energy Manager evaluation	PSE Agrees
1) A purpose statement which answers the following questions:	-		
a) What is your kWh reduction target?	Page 14 (Section 6.1)	✓	
b) What is the Key Performance Indicator?	Page 7 (Section 4.4)		
c) Who do you need to engage to make your plan successful?	Page 30 (Section 11)		
2) A table that compares all your buildings.	-	✓	
a) BEPI	Page 7 (Table 3)		
3) Explain what the opportunities are to become more efficient.	-		
a) Project list	Page 15 (Table 8)	✓	
b) Initiative List: Behavioural and Organizational			
c) Studies: Outline which buildings have had studies completed	Page 16 (Section 6.2)		
4) Outline the budget to implement projects.	-		
a) If no budget, explain why not and what you intend to do about getting a budget.	Page 6 (Section 4.2)	✓	
5) Conclusion: How is your plan doing?	-		
a) Outlined kWh saved	Pages 21 to 23	✓	
b) Outlined GHG tonnes saved	Page 24		
c) Actual dollars saved to the organization	-		
d) Outlined avoided cost	Page 25		
6) Conclusion: Senior Management Support			
a) Approval of the SEMP: Signature on the SEMP	Cover Page of Final		

Tracking:

	2 nd Q Draft SEMP Submitted Date	Date PSE Coaching Comments Returned to EM	4 th Q SEMP submitted date	Reviewed and Coaching comments returned to EM: Date	*If EM needed to resubmit: date	If PSE reviewed: Date
Energy Manager						
PSE						

PSE Coaching Comments for Improvements (Not required for sign-off)

	Date: Duration	Date: Duration	Date: Duration	Date: Duration
Energy Manager contacted PSE for assistance.				